eing a Brief RELATIC of the caule & Sufferings M* WILLIAM CARRE

humbly rendred to the confideration of the honth House of Commons, who are the REPRESENTATIVES OF all the Commons of England.

Together with a Plea against she pretended Farisdictions, & gular proceedings of the House of Louise in which ma feen the Just Rights of every Commoner & Fara-non-Subject of England.

The Kabbert of the wicked thall deliver them : became they refuse to de ludgement but It it for to the July to do Judgement, Prov. 215.7. 15.

Dorb two Law police any more before to bear hime, and inche minute he doth? I folim, "I We marked That have a more an offender for a word. So lay a facers fine him that office as Mental for a thing of mought, I this 19: 14.

Confider James, 23: 15, 16, 450.

ticheld begoerefenedelen, bit wet with Silver. I have chaffe the in the formace of afficient. In

AMSTERDAM

Rinica in the Ven 1676

12900-

To the HONble The Knight Citizens & Burgesles Assenbled in Parliament.

Hen I behold this Honbie House as the Chosen & Betrusted Commissioners of all the Commons of England, in whom alone (by right) resides the formall & legall power of judging of the weal, safety, peace & prosperity of the Commons of England, compareing the same with the present House of Lords their violent & irregular practices & dealings with me; and therewithall read over & seriously consider the 29th. Chapter of Magna Charta, & the Petition of Right, & other the good & just known & declared Laws of this Kingdom, made for the Commons good, benefit, protection & preservation of the Lives, Liberties & Estates of all the Free-Denizons thereof, It makes my work to seem very facile & pleasant to me;

Now for the clearing up of the justness of my cause in delivering to your Honours a Petition against the Lord Gerard & others, who had defrauded not onely his Mane, but the souldiers also of severall great summs of money, & had committed other high misdemeanours against the Commons of England, (The delivering of which Petition was the originall & onely cause upon which the House of Lords have grounded their proceedings against me) I shall here crave leave to give you a brief Narrativ of the severall proceedings in the House of Lords against me, as also of those at the Councill-board, & at Common Law: And then shall proceed to set before you my Plea against those actings, of the Lords house: Not doubting but to give you full satisfaction, & likewise make it evidently appear to all honest & unbyassed

Englishmen, That the proceeding of the House of Peers in my case, is not onely against the known Laws of the Land, but of a dangerous consequence, & a high breach of the priviledges of the Commons of England.

But me thinks I hear some object & through ignorance or pusillanimity of Spiritjudgeme a rash & factious-spirited Man for undertaking so mighty hard & difficult a work as to contest with such a torrent of great men conjoined in a House of Peers, who claims

a greater power than any other Court of Record in England.

To fuch I answer, That the House of Lords may be compared to a shallow uneven water, more in noise than substance: for do but distinguish between what is theirs of right, & what by encroachment, & we may soon find that they have deckt themselvs with the Commons brave feathers; which being resumed, they would appear no better arrayed than other men, even equall by Law, & for the most of them, inferiour in knowledge as well as in uprightnes & honesty of conversation.

I shall not here make it my business to uncover the nakednes of the House of Lords, in shewing the severall mischiefs happened in old time by their illegall proceedings, or occasioned in our age by their pretended priviledges in protecting themselvs & some thousands against their just Creditors & other Complain-

ants to the manifest ruin of many families.

Nor will J trouble you with a Relation of the feverall good & equal laws made for the Government of Peers & Noblemen abroad beyond the Seas, even under the worst of Governments: As for instance, The Peers of Spain & France are bound to answer any Commoner that shall complain against them, without a Plea called The Lords priviledge: And in Holland, Switzerland & other more regular Governments, the States themselvs are bound to answere: And is it not so in England, if the Law hath it's just current? doth not the King answer by his Atturney-Gens, to all suits commenced against him? And shall not the Peers be bound to answer, who are but Subjects?

Lould show many Reasons why the Lords house ought notto.

have

(3)

have any priviledges or jurisdictions apart from the Commons; yet am very willing their Lordships should exercise & enjoy such their just priviledges as are declared & established by the consent of Parliaments: But when their Lordships assume to themselvs more than to them of right belongs, its high time, as I humbly conceive, that the Commons let their Lordships know that they are usurpers of Authoritie & Arbitrary power against the known Laws of

this Kingdom.

This onely Reason shall I instance, viz. That the Peers of England do not possess a 20th part of all the Lands in England & Wales: which may be feen by a Survey taken in the feverall Countys in the Year 1662. whereby it did then appear that the 170 Temporal Lords with the 26. Spiritual did not possess more Lands than to the value of 845000. Pd by the Year, not allowing the severall Statutes, Mortgages, & other incumbrances, made to the Commoners on their Lordships estates : By which Survey it did likewise appear. That there were 70000 Commoners in England who did possess as their free-hold Lands, to the value of 8780000 pd; besides Dean & Chapters Lands, Colledge Lands, Hospitall Lands, Corporation & Fabrick Lands; which, if modefly cast up with the other, makes more than 29. parts in 30: but. if you will add the great stock going in trade, & also the value of the floating houses & Castles on the Waters which belongonely to the Commons, then their Lordships proportion will fall short of a 100 part.

But why do I trouble your Honours with this account, who dayly fee by the Books in the Exchequer, that the Peers proportion in the Taxe of this Nation is inconfiderable to the Commons; and yet, as small as it is, many Peers have not, or are not able to pay what is taxed on them, but stand Debtors to the King to

this day, as by Sr. Robert Longs Book doth appear.

But Some there are who objecting fay, That, Although the Peers have not so great a proportion of Land as have the Commoners, yet their Lordships have reserved to their Titles of jurisdictions, Courts of Pleas & other Courts of honour in this Kingdom.

Lo

To which I answer, That 'tis very well known to the Sheric of every County, That The Lords have not onely alienated thei Lands to the Commons, but have fold away their Birth-rights: for I know that in many places in England, my Lord Duke & my Lord Earle, Viscount & Lord Baron do often suit & service to Mr. Mercer, Mr. Draper, Mr. Taylor, Mr. Barber-Chirurgion, & Mr. Vintaer; but especially to Mr. Lawyer, Mr. Goldsmith & Mr. Scrivener, who now possess their Lordships, Court-Barons, Court-leers & the Lands annexed; Do not we dayly see that some of their Lordships are become Pensioners to their Stewards & Hostesses But I shall forbear to speak any further on this particular untill I come to my Plea; And shall now proceed with my Narrative, in which I shall onely touch on some passages, & that very briefly; referring those who desire to be surther satisfyed to Cosso Philo.

Tis not unknown to many of this Honble House that I was one fent over by Gen! Monck with his Brother Clarges to Breda in the reflauration of the King; & was there by ill fortune brought acquainted with the Lord Gerard, under whose Command I feryed as Clerck & Pay-master to the Kings Guard 8 years & more; dureing which time I was confcous in not discovering the Lord Gerard & others who dayly defrauded & cheated both the King & Reople, untill that Conscience flew in my face, & that I could no longer hold filent: For at that time when the Dutch came into the river of Thames, there came an order from the Gen!! That to Men should march immediatly out of the Kings Guard to Chattam, The Lord Gerard & his Officers were but from 4 a clock in the morning untill 3 in the Afternoon in fending 50 Men out of 200 in the Kings troop, & at last were fain to fend 2. Supernumerary Life-guard-men, & my Brother which was my Clerck to make up that number : It would be too tediousa Story here to tell you the defects of the Guard, or to shew you

(7)

how many young Gentlemen & old Officers have been undon by riding in that Guard, & how many poor Gentlemen have been constrained to rott for want of bread, haveing rid many

months & then were turn'd out.

Gen". Menck having been enformed by one of our own Officers of the defects of the Guard, immediatly fent for me, & examined me on feverall particulars, touching which I could not deny but that it was truth that was told him, always moderating on the behalf of the Lord Gerard & other Officers : After the Generall had done examining me, He gave me order to fignific his Commands to the Lord Gerard, therewith to tell his Lordship that if the Guards were not immediatly filled up & that with effectuall Men, putting out Footmen, Pages, Coachmen, Cooks, Grooms, & Supernumerary Men which ride in the Troop for approbation, He would acquaint the King, & take another course with the Lord Gerard: In pursuance of the Generals order I acquainted the Lord Gerard with the Commands of the Generall, rendring them in the mildest sense I could; for that the Gentl. & Ld. Gerard. as all Men knew, were not well together at Court : The Lord Gerard hereupon fell into a grievous passion, & with his usuall way of imprecations fwore that I was combining with the Generall to ruin him, but He would first ruin me; & bid me tell that Drunken dull Sot that betrayed his Party, That He would have him & his Draggle-tayld-Dutchess go on cheating the King in his Stables, & not meddle with the Guards: Hereupon, finding the Ld. Gerard in fuch a passion, & continuing so next day, I then resolved to quitt my employment, & there fore demanded my moneys due to me both from himself & Guards; which enraged his anger more than before, He swearing he would never pay me nor should the Guards; Hereupon he commanded me to Portsmouth there to give my attendance, the better to manage his design which he began in that Garrison, charging me not

to stirr out of that Town untill I complyed with his designs, swearing that he would call me to a Council of Warr, & hang me, for that He was a Lieftenant-General, & that I plotted with the Gen!! against him.

Hereupon I took advice of a Friend in that Garrison, who layd before me his Lordships behaviour in Wales, & his cruell mutiny & usage of the old King at Newark, which made methink it better to withdraw untill the return of the Parliament, to whom I resolved to address my grievances at their next meeting. But before I left Port (mouth I endeavoured a right understanding between his Lordship & the Genil: But the Lord Gerard was so puft up with his new Honour of being a Lieftenant-General in that part of the Countrey, that I could not be admitted to speak to his Lan, unless I would promise to make him more profit of the Guards than formerly; fending me word that I was a Fool, & fo was Monck to think that the King did not know that he made great profits of the Guards; and therewith fent me an order to wait on him the next day & give my answer : Accordingly on the morrow I waited on his Lorder, thinking to find him in a better humour than when I last spake with him: But I found myself much mistaken; For his first salute was. That he was resolved to ruine me, & bid me go to my Sot Monck, & fee if he could protect me. Upon this, I considered of his Lorder passion, & withdrew, asking further advise of a Friend in that Garrison, who (having minded me of the former Actions of the Lord Gerard with Mr. Fitton & Blundall (with John Cade & John Wright) & others whom he had rulned without cause) councelled me to leave the Garrison, which accordingly I did the next day, & repaired to the General, & told him of some particulars which had happened to me, always prefenting the best of his Lordships carriage in reference both to my felf & others. And here give me

to

leave to protest before the Almighty, That I never told the Generall one fillable of the foul language which the Lord Gerard gave him behind his back. Now after I had told the General fomthing of my Case, He smil'd & told me, That He thought Gerard was mad, adviseing me to withdraw myself untill Gerard came to London, & then He would examin my business before the King & Councill.

The Lord Gerard missing me the next day, & hearing that I was gon for London, fent his threats against me to his Hectors in London who were at his Lorders command, being fuch persons, as, to gratify his Lordship, care not what actions they are put upon: Whereupon, fearing a pistol or a stab, I withdrew to a friends house, leaving a Charge in writing against the Ld. Gerard with the General; Upon which the Lord Gerard without any further consideration fent soldiers into my house, open'd my closet, took away all my books, bonds, bills & obligations; &, contrary to all Law, kept a formall guard in my house untill the coming together of your Honours; and then his Lordship was made sensible that He was not plundering in Wales. & must not do it in England: And then He sneaked off his Guard.

At your Honours meeting in Parliament, I prefented, by my Wife, a Petition, in which I charged the Ld Gerard, & others to have cheated & defrauded His Ma: " & his Subjects, & to have committed high misdemeanours against the Commons of England; imploring your Honours examination of the matter, & to grant your Petitioner fuch relief as to your grave Wisedoms should

feem meet.

The Lord Gerard hearing of this Petition, & fearing himself not fafe either in life or estate, if the matter should come to hearing, flyes to the Lords house, & there procures an Order to siez & bring me to the Barr of the House of Peers, for a scandalous

dalous feditious Libel delivered to the House of Commons: In obedience to which Order I appeared at the Lords Barr, where their Lordshipps asked me first, if I knew the Authour of the Petition delivered by my Wife to the House of Commons: And Secandly, who was the Printer of the faid Petition: To the first, I told their Lordships, That I own'd the Petition delivered, as to matter of Fact, & was ready to prove every particular; faveing matter of Form, which I defired to amend, if there was cause; & therefore humbly pray'd their Lordships dismission: Their Lords hereupon told me, that I should have time untill the morrow to confess who was the Printer, & who was joining with me in Petition: I told their Lordships, That I should fay no other thing than that I said before; & therefore prest their Lordships to difmiss me. The next day following J was brought before a Committee of Lords, & there the same Quastions were put to me, as were at the Barr : I told the Committee of Lords , That I humbly conceived that I had given the whole House my answer : They still press'd me to answer to severall questions not relating to my Petition; to which I defired to be heard by Council learned in the Laws: The Committee the next day reported to the whole House: Whereupon their Lordships, without hearing of me, proceeded to judgement; & the next day fent the Serjeant at Arms to bring me to the barr of the House to receive judgement: The next day being brought to the Barr, The Lord Keeper began to read their Lordships judgement; Whereupon I humbly pray'd that I might be heard by their Lordships before they proceeded to judgment, I was made to withdraw, & upon: the Lord Keepers motion to the House J was admitted to speak : I then told their Lordships, That I humbly conceived that I was a Commoner, & ought to be tryed for any matter of fact whatfoever:

what soever by my Peers, which were Commoners, & not bu their Lorders: Secondly, I told their Lorders that I had a Plea ready in my hand to tender to their Lorder, on which I defired to be heard by Councel, & that I was ready to try that Paper or Petition, which their Honours called a Libel, whether it was fo or not : and that if I did not prove every allegation in the faid Perition to be true, I would fland to their Lorder judgements, & if true, I hoped their Hones would encourage fuch discoverys. & punish the Offenders. Upon this motion, The Lord Keeper moved that I might withdraw, He being wholly against the Houses proceedings, & proposed to their Lorders that I might be heard: Butit was carryed in the Non-contents, That I should not be heard: and thereupon was I called into the House; and the Ld Keeper read the Indgement of the House of Lords : viz. .. That I should be committed Prisoner to the Fleet-prison. , & fined 1000pd Sterling to the King, & stand in the Pillory ,, the next day, & have on the Pillory a Paper navled . , with this inscription, For printing & publishing a Scandalous " & dangerous Libel reflecting on the justice, honour, & dignity , of the King , & reflecting on the honour of the House of Peers. , & the Person of the Lord Gerard a Peer of the Realm : and ,, that a Copy of the Petition delivered to the House of , Commons should be burned by the hands of the common " Hangman.

This thundring judgement made such a noise in the Peoples ears, that many were the murmurs, and discourses against the House of Lords illegall & tyrannicall proceedings; by which the La Gerard & others were alarmed, especially hearing that many Commoners visited me in prison, encourageing

1

e.

t

e.

d

re:

n:

ict

me

me not to submit myself unto the Lords, but to have patience untill the remeeting of the Parliament, who were the very next day adjourned. Upon this was another illegall way & practise found out, which was, that I should be articled against at the Council-board. & by that Authority removed to the Holy-Isles, by which me ins I might be either privatly murdered, & so prevent my petitioning your Honrs, or else be compelled to a complyance.

Hereupon there was a Petition delivered against me to the King & Council: One Article in the Petition onely was for Treafon, & the other Articles were for high misdemeanours: That of Treason was, That I held correspondence with the King of France

& States of Holland in the time of the Warr.

This Petition being read, there was a Warrant fent to the Fleet-prison at 5. a clock in the evening in the month of lanuary, That the next day at 9. a clock in the morning, The Warden of the Fleet should bring my body in safe custody before the Council, not mentioning for what cause or what was my crime.

This being fuch short warning, & the prison standing so far out of Town, I had no time to consult with Councel or any friends, but wholly threw myself into the protection of the Iudge of Judges, remembring what is said in Matth. 10: 19. When they deliver you up, take no thought how or what ye shall speak: for it shall be given you in that same hour what ye shall speak. and in Matth. 5: 10. Blessed are they who are persecuted for righteousness sake: for theirs is the Kingdom of heaven. In short,

Being brought before the Council-board, the Petition was read unto me, & the Lords of the Council pressed me hard to give my answer: I replyed to the Board, that the Petition that was read against me was very long, & contained many Articles, some for Treason, as neer as I could guess,

being

Being not so accurate in the Laws of the Land, & therefore humbly prayed, that before I was put to answer, I might have a copy of the Petition & order, & sufficient time to answer: I was bid to withdraw; & it was carryed in Council that I ought to have a Copy & time to answer: Whereupon I was called in. & The Board asked me how long time I expected to answer in ? I defired 10. days, which was denyed; & 4 days onely granted: I then prayed that I might have a copy of the Petition that. day, which was granted but not performed untill the 3d day: At the 2d day of appearance I attended the Council-board according to their order, where the Lords asked me for my answer. I told their Lordships I had but one whole day to confider what to answer, & therefore prayed 4 days more: Hereupon the Lords threatned that they would do strange things against me for my contempt in not answering according to my own agreement: But at last I was bid withdraw, & it was again put to the Board, Whether I should have longer time, or whether I was not in contempt: but it was carryed, That I ought not to be furprised in time: So that, after much pressing, I got 2. days more: Then J was remanded to the Fleet-prison by an order of the Board; & that I should peremptoryly answer on that day, or be in contempt :

Then 'going down the stairs with my two Keepers, One of the Lords run after my Keeper, & commands him to shew him his Warrant, that was for bringing me to answer, & finding his Name in the Warrant, faid, I will take this to the Clerk to put out my Name, for J was not at the Order making; & so caus'd his Name to be cross'd out; & told the Clerk, He did not like the Lords proceeding against Carr: &

faid, He knew better the 17th of Car: 10.

..

e -

r:

y

ie:

212

it h.

irs.

as

to:

on:

ed

fs,

ng;

The day of my 3^d appearance being com'd, I was called in before the Council-board, & asked for my Answer; I told them

That:

That I had it ready, & thereupon delivered it; Which was onely, A plain Demurrer to their Jurisdictions, grounded upon the known Laws of the Land : At which their Lorders were in great rage, & made me withdraw; and being called in the fecond time, The Lords pressed me to wave my Plea & Demurrer; and told me I should find Kindness; otherwise they could & would proceed to judgement against me severely, notwithstand. ing my Plea: To which I replyed to the Board, That I was resolved to stand to my Plea; let the Board do their pleasure: Then the Lords commanded me to tell who was of my Councel in drawing my Plea, & told me, if I did not immediatly give my answer they would proceed to judgement: I told their Lorders that I came not there to impeach any one, or to condemn myfelf: Whereupon J was bid to withdraw: and in the mean time One of the Lords came out into the Clerks room, & told one of my enemies in the hearing of a Friend ,, That He resolved not to meddle in Carrs business; for, said he, this is the , fecond time I have been here, expecting to find the Lord Keeper, , the Genil, or my Lord Roberts, & other old Lords; but I find & understand, that they disapprove not onely of this proceed. but also of the dealings of the House of Lords in Carrs business; , & you will find , faid his Lorders , That the House of Commons , will fall upon us , if we make ourselvs above Law : Let Gerard , & Cartwright & others acquit themselvs fairly in the Law-Courts. , & not put us upon Starr-Chamber practise; Whereupon this Honble Peer acquitted himself from running into a præmunire, as have others done.

Being called in the 4th time, A wise & noble Peer asked me if I owned the Plea & Demurrer that J delivered to the board I answered, that my figning my Plea showed that I owned it therefore prayed a dismission: Upon which the Lords commanded the Clerk of the Council to deliver into my hand the

Plea & Demurrer, adviseing me to consider whether I owned all writt in the Demurrer: J answered, that I had often read it over, & had fully confidered of every thing contained in the Demurrer; & therefore I laid it down on the Council-boatd as my Act & Deed, & desired it might be entred; & prayed a dismission. The Lords upon this commanded me to withdraw; & fent my Demurrer to the Lord Keeper & Kings Councel, to advise if it were good: and after 3 hours attendance in the Clerks chamber, a Messenger brought me word that the Council was up, & that I might return to the Fleet : whereupon I asked one of the Clarks, what was ordered in my business? The Clerk smiled, & clapt me on the shoulder, & faid ,, Well, Honest Carr, you , are not likely to be fent a prisoner to the Holy Island; your Enemies, faid he, are mad, that the Council wil not proceed to run themselvs into a præmunire. And here I must consess, that I had very fair play in the Lords Arlingtons office, & the Clerks of the Councils offices, after my first days appearance; for that I had great favour & liberty to peruse many papers that lay before the Council-board which concerned my business.

My enemies (finding that the King & Council would not proceed against the known Laws, nor exercise an Arbitrary power,) had no more wit than to think, that they might by large Swearing take away my life at Common-Law; & therefore preferr'd many inditements against me in the Kings-Bench; One was for Fel ony, in leaving my colours; & quitting Portsmouth-Garrison; An other for Treason, for going out of England without the Kings leave, the other not worth naming. When news was sent me by a friend out of the Crown-Office of this troop of inditements, I then was resolved to Petition for a habeas Corpus to remove myself from my cruel usage in the Fleet, to the Kings Bench, that thereby I might force on my trialls on the said inditements; To which end, I fent my Petition

to the *Iudges* that were then in Town; which *Iudges* were focowardly & corrupt, that They ventured rather to anger the *Iudge of Iudges*, & be perjured, than displease the *House of Lords*; for they pretended, my Case was of a higher nature, than that they might grant a habeas corpus in it; so that I was fain to wait untill the Term; & then I petitioned the Court of Kings Bench; but was much troubled to find a Councellour that durst venture to move for me; for most of the eminent Lawyers & Kings Councel were see'd against me; At last I found an honest, able, valiant Member of the House of Commons, MR COLEMAN of Lincoln, who undertook my cause; & in full Court moved my business, delivering to the Court my Petition, & the cause of my imprisonment in the Fleet.

Now because some Judges have been so corrupt as to deny habeas corpuses, whereby many poor Men have not onely endured great misery, but have been starved to death in prisons, & the justice of their Cause never com'd to a hearing, I will set before you the just grounds & Law that commands the Judges to grant

habeas corpus.

I say, That to deny a habeas corpus to any Man whosoever that craves it, let his cause be what it will for which He is committed, is, to deny him the benefit of the Law of the Land: And this is excellently proved & illustrated by Sr. Edward Cook, in his Exposition of the 29. Chap. of Magna Charta: as you may see in the 2. part. instit. sol. 42, 43. also sol. 186, 189, 515. & sirst instit. lib. 3. Chap. 7. seet 438. sol. 260. The oppressed Mans oppression declared pa. 1. 3.4. & 4. Ed. 3. chap. 2. printed. in the Peoples prerogative pag. 6. See Ed. 1. 15. See 2. H. 5. chap. 2. & 11. chap. by which you may see that the Law of the Land is extream tender & favourable in the case of a Mans freedom & liberty: & therefore it hath appointed Officers & Ministers to deliver the Gaol three times

times a Year, or oftener, if need be: because in the eye of the Law the prison is a bad or hard mansion or dwelling: And befides that, All men committed for any trespass whatsoever, for which a man is not to loofe life or member, shall be bailed: The Gaol, by the common Law of England, faith Sr. Edward Cook, being the pledge or furety of him that could find none other Bayl: And therefore by the ancient common Law of England, Treason & Felony (in case the Party that had committed it could find good Bay!) was Bailable: And in case the Prifoner be long detained in Gaol, & denyed Bail according to the Law, the Law hath provided a habeas corpus to bring his body before the Iudges, that Bail may be taken according to the Law of the Land: For untill the babeas corpus be returned, it doth not judicially appear for what cause He is in prison; but upon the return it will appear: And if upon the return it doth appear, that he is imprisoned contrary to the Known Laws of the Land, the Indges are bound by their oaths, without any more a do, to release him, whosoever commands to the contrary: And if it appear that he is legally committed for a crime in Law that is Baylable, they are to bayl him; and if his crime be not Baylable, they are to return him back from whence he came: And all this clearly appears by Sr. Edward Cook upon the 29. chap. of Magna Charta. fol. 55. And by the Indges answer to the 25. Articles or Objections that Richard Bancroft Arch-Biffhop of Canterbury exhibited in the Name of all the clergy (then high enough) in Mich: Term, in the 3d Tear of King James, to the Lords of the Privy-Council, against the Indges of the Realm; for encroaching (as they supposed) upon their Ecclesiastical Iurisdictions: Where, in their 12th Article, they complain against the Indges in Westminster-Hall, for that they command & cause the Sheriffs to bring before them into their Courts, parties committed by the

e

the Ecclesiasticall Indges to prisons, that by the Laws of the Land, (fay they) they ought not deliver, untill the Ecclesiasticall Courts were satisfyed, And yet by their own discretion set them at liberty, without notice thereof given to the Ecclesiastical Indges &c. Unto all which, All the Judges of England & All the Barons of Excheque, upon mature deliberation & consideration, in Easter-Term following, with one unanimous consent declared, That if the Party imprisoned be in Law not bailable, yet We, ought (fay they), upon complaint, to send the Kings writ, of a habeas corpus for the body & the cause; & if in the return, no cause, or no sufficient cause appear, then We de (as we, ought,) set him at Liberty.

I will here prove that a habeas corpus is not by Law to be denyed to any Prisoner whatsoever, whatever his crime be, or by

whomfoever committed:

First, I say, A Man in Execution for Debt is not bailable by Law, & yet in Law an habeas corpus cannot nor ought not to be denyed to any such; & so for all offences whatsoever: And amongst many other Remedies against unjust imprisonment, the Law of the Land gives this Remedie, viz. the Writ de ho-

mine Repleziando; & the writ de ponendo in Ballium.

Secondly, It is against the Judges oath to deny it; in which Oath He swears to do equal Law & execution of right to all people rich or poor, without having regard to any Person: & that they deny to no man common right, by any Letter from the King, or any other person watsoever, nor for no other cause; & in case any Letters come to the Iudge contrary to the Law, the Iudge is to do nothing by such Letters, but acquaint the King thereof, & proceed to execute the Law, notwithstanding such Letters. But a habeas corpus is part of the Law of the Land which the Judges ought to grant to all men that demand them: and if the Iudges deny it to any man that craves it, then the Iudges for swear themselvs, & are liable.

Hiable to be indicted for perjured persons; upon Conviction of which they for ever forseit their places, & are for ever uncapable to be Councellours, &c. As appears by an Act of Parliament of the 11 H. Rot. Parl. no. 28. m. & in 3. part. instituts sol. 224.

Thirdly, To deny a habeas corpus, is against Magna Charta, & the Perion of right made in the 3. year of the King, & also the All that abolished

the Starr-Chamber made in the 17. year of Car. 10.

e

ot

: 1

nt,

bo-

ich:

all

: 82

rom

fe:&

ereof.

s:But

ought

witto

liable

Fourthly, To deny it, is, to rob the people of their declared & undoubted Birth-right, viz. the Law of the Land: as appeareth in the 3. part instit. fol. 53. 55. & 1. part 60.dec. pag. 7.38.39.77.201.278.459.650.660.845.

But here some ignorant Men do Object & say, That the House of Lords is above the Law of the Land, & their Priviledges unlimited.

I Answer positivly No: The House of Lords are not above the Law of the Land; but that the Laws are as binding unto them, as unto the meanest men in England: As you may see in the case of Robert Carre Earle of Sommerfet, who was seized on by a Warrant from the Lord Chief Justice Cook, for being suspected to have had a hand in the poysoning of Sr. Thomas Overbury: And in the case of the Lord Morley & others. I observed not many Terms ago, in the Lord Gerards case, That His Lordship haveing threatned to make his Footmen cut off one Mr. Corwels nose, because He swore the truth in my Tryal against his Hon, The Gentleman hereupon (dayly expecting to be affaffinated by his Lapp Hectors) moved, by Mr. Coleman, the Court of Kings Bench that the Ld Gerard might be bound to his good behaviour: upon which the 4 Indges of the Kings Bench declared every one feverally their opinions, that The Court should grant a Writ to the Sheriff of Middlesex, to bring before them the body of the Ld Gerard to be bound to his good behaviour: and this was executed according to the fourt Warrant; notwithstanding that Mr. Iones the Lord Gegords Councel moved, that the Lord Gerard was a

Peer of England, & that the House of Lords were adjourned, & not proroged; so that the House of Lords were actually sitting with all their Priviledges. but this is most excellently observed by Sr. Edward Cook in his 4. part instit. chap. High Court of Parliaments

fol. 37.

I will speak further of this when I come to my Plea, : and will now return to shew you, That upon Mr. Colemans motion, The Court awarded 3. severall habeas corpuses; & at last upon a third habeas corpus, which came with a good Fine & panalty on the Warden of the Fleet, I was brought up to the Kings Bench Court, where the Indges reading the Return, in which was returned the judgement of the House of Lords, they smiled, & call'd to the Kings Council & Sr. William Scroggs, Jones, & other Councel, which were fee'd against me, to shew cause why I should not be returned to the Kings Bench: Some of the Kings Conneell told the Court that I was the Kings Prisoner, & committed by the House of Lords; & therefore pray'd that I might be returned to the Fleet; To which, Indge Windham told the Kings Councel, that He wondred to hear fuch a Motion; "for (faid the Judge) you , have indicted Carre in this Court in many indictments, some Treason & Felony, & if ever you intend to try him , he must be , removed at the Kings charge, & here Carre petitions to remove "himself at his own charge, that saves you a charge & trouble; , & if Carre be quilty, he doth but haften his own death, & , fulfill your defire: For my part, I must declare to my Li Chief "Justice, & the rest of my Brothers Judges, We are bound by our ,Oaths to do justice aqually between the King , & his Subjects: , & therefore I must tell you of the Councel against the Prisoner, , That We are not bound to take notice of the House of Lords , proceedings. Then the La Chief Tuffice , reading the Lords judgement which was in the Return of the habeas corpus) 1000 dup, &com& commanded me to be brought into the Court, & then turned me over, & asked the Kings Councel, if they had any thing to fay to the feverall indictments that were in Court against me? The Councel replyed, that they would take time to consider with the whole Kings Councel; for at that time The Atturney & Sollicitor Gen!!, & Maynard were not in Court: Vpon this I moved the Court, that I was ready to plead to the Inditements, & prayed, that my Plea might now be received, for that the Inditements were ready in the Clerk of the Crowns hands, & that I was willing to try them all in 12 days, & at my own charge, rather than to be kept so close a Prisoner in the Fleet.

The Court, upon hearing what was objected by the Councel for the King, & my Councels Replys thereon, made a Rule, That the Inditements should be read, & that I should then be arraigned: And upon produceing fuch a troop of Inditenients, the La Chief Inflice proposed to the Kings Councel, That for shortening of time, & faveing much trouble & charge, He would have them to chuse out 3 of the most likely Inditements to try; & if none of them did hitt me, His Lorder left it to the Kings Councel to proceed on the other or not; telling them that One of the Indirements was enough to ruin any Man, if proved: Hereupon the Rings Councel confented that I should be arraigned on 3: which accordingly was don; & the Court fet 14 days after to try them at the Barr, provided brought them on at my own charge. And here, because I will shorten this Narrative, I will onely fay this, That I had many witnesses at a great charge our of severall Countys, some 100, & severall 60 miles from London, & that my Tryalls at the Barr cost me much money; & That upon a full bearing I was acquitted by my Countrey; after very hard, falle, & malicious swearing. & I will onely instance the Ld Gerards evidence against me on the Inditement in open Court.

t

e.

e.

le

Ju

ne

be

vve,

le;

80

hief

our

eds:

ner.

Lords

Lords

dup;

Court, & then come to my Plea: One Inditement was for leaving my Colours in Portsmouth, to which the Ld Gerard was fworn; And (after The Courts admonition to his Lan, to have a care, & mind well what He was about, & to consider that He was now swearing against a Mans Life) hereupon His Lan fwore that I was a Souldier in pay, & in the Rolls of the Kings Guard; & this Heaffirmed on his Oath: The Court being onely Councel with me, for that I was allowed no Councel by the Law of the Land, I prayed the Court to ask his Lap that Ougstion once more: His Lapp was the second time defired by the Court to repeat his evidence: whereupon His Lap could not forbear, but gave ill-becoming language in the Court; fo that the Court reproved his Lapp, & told him, that He forgot where He was; ,, for, , faid the Iudges, you are before the King, & therefore forbear your "ill language; It doth not appear yet, that Carre, the Prisoner , at the Barr is a Traytor & a Rogue, as you call him. After all the Kings evidence was heard, then I began, & proved by Sr. Stephen Foxes Rolls, Commissary John Bayns Rolls, & by the Rolls of the Excheqr, that my Name was not in any of the Rolls of the Kings Guard: Whereupon the Ld Chief Instice threw down the Inditement, & the Jury cryed a verdict, & fo acquitt me presently. The next Inditement was for defrauding the King of 2785pd-185-4d in September 1667. & for 2785pd-185-4d in November 1667. And to this Inditement the Ld Gerard fwore harder than before: Upon which the Court asked when his Lan gave Carre his discharge for paying the Guards ? His Lorder replyed. in January 1667. Then My Councel on this Inditement had leave to speak; & therefore defired the Court to ask the Ld Gerard, if Mr. Carre & bis Lap were good friends in January 1667. & whether Carre was then an honest Man to the King & his Guards? His Lar swore, Yes: for, said his Lar, here is his account & mine

in a Book in Court; & then, faid his Lan, ,, upon my oath, , my Lords, I found him honest, & did not mistrust him till I , came to Portsmouth: (which was in Iuly following:) Here My Councel marked his Lorder evidence, & defired the Court to take speciall notice, That his Lapp proves Mr. Carre an honest Man in January 1667. & yet maliciously indited Carre in November 1667. & September 1667. Vpon which the whole Court burst out into a Hum; so that the Ld Chief Justice was troubled to hear fuch a great noise, & fuch a disorder as was then in Courts & told the Tipstaffs, that if they & the Civers did not keep more silence, & make a clear Court, He would commit them: for there were great crouds of people both in the Galleries & in Court. So to give you no further trouble with the particulars of these Tryals; I was acquitted on all 3 of the Inditements: and the other Inditements I quashed by motion in the Court of Kings Bench.

By this true Relation, I leave all honest indifferent Men to judge, how fairly the Lord Gerard perjured himself: And now 1

come to my Plea.

THE PLEA.

And first, As to matter of Law: I do say & afsirm, That the Lords originally have no Jurisdiction over any Commoner of England what-ever; either to try him, or pass ludgement against him either for life, limb, liberty, or estate.

219. That in case the Lords had had jurisdiction over me, (which I do deny) yet there was not the least legall formality in their proceedings with me; And therefore voyd in Law: they fummoning me before any impeachment or inditement was filed against me : Which was , & is expresly against the fundamentall Common Laws of the Land: & alfo against the 29, chap. of Magna Charta; & the Statutes of the 5. E. 2. 9. 6 25. E. 3. 4 & 28. E. 3. 3. & 37. E. 18. & 42. E. 3. 3. which Statutes are the true Expositors of the 29 chap. of Magna Chartat And what is meant by Lex terre there, all those Statutes shew; viz. That no Man be put to answer without presentment befoze Juftices oz matter of Record , or by due process or Writ Briginal, according to the old Law of the Land : and if any thing be done to the contrary, it is boid in Law, & holden for errour: All & every of which Statutes are confirmed by the Petition of Right, & by the Act of the 17 of Car. Io.

3.9 I fay, That the Lords do not fit in their House by any power or Authority derived from the Peoples free Election & choice; who cannot in justice, reason, & equitie be bound, but by their own free consent: Neither in reason, justice, or equitie can any be Law-makers to them, that are not thereunto justly empowered by them; which the House of Lords are not in the least; but are meerly ealtogether the Creatures of the King; being the meer issue of his Will, fitting by his Command, who himself, in reference to the bodies & estates of the people, is

limited & bounded by Law:

As for instance, By the 29. of Magna Charta, the King cannot imprison any man, or disposses him of his free-hold, liberties, or free customs; or out-law him, exile him, or any other wans destroy him, but by due process of Law according to the Law of the Land: Meither can he sell, deny,

of the 2. E. 3. 8. & 14. E. 3. & 14. & 11. R. 2. 10. The King is tyed, That he shall not hinder, biffurb noz belap common right & justice according to the Law of the Land, by any Command under the Great Deal , or the Litle Seal; neither bn ann Letters of his Signet or Deibn-Seal. And by the Petition of Right, All those Laws & Liberties are not onely confirmed, but 'tis there enacted, & fully declared, That Do man be adjudged or condemned but bn the Laws already established, & declared : And that all the Administrators of the Laws of England, & all other of the kings Ministers shall ferve him & the kingdom according to the declared Laws thereof & not otherwise. And by the Act of the 17. Car. 10. which abolished Ship-money, & the Starr-Chamber, & rectifyeth the Council-board, All & every the particulars of the faid Petition of Right are not onely confirmed, But it is enacted further , That Deither his Da:" noz his Drive Council have or ought to have any Aurisdiction, power, or other Authoritie, either bn English Bill, Betition, Articles, Libels, or ann other Arbitrary wan whatfoever to examine or draw into quæstion, betermine or dispose of the Lands, Tenements, hareditaments, Goods Chattels of ann the Subjects of this kingdom ; but that the fame ought to be trued & determined in the ordinary Course of Law: Which last clause is extraordinary pertinent to my purpose, that is, that the ordinary Courts of Instice, & the ordinary Courts of the Law are to be Tryers of all Causes & differences betwixt party & party. And by the same Act, there is a Remedy provided for any Man that shall illegally suffer imprisonment, or hereafter be committed, or restrained of his Liberty, by the Command or Warrant of the King himself, his heirs, or Successours, in their own person: Yea.

IÈ

ø,

er

g

02

Yea, & the Kings Oath that He takes at his Coronation, tyes him to govern his people according to the established Laws, & to preserve unto them their Liberties & freedoms. And excellent to this purpose is Sr. Edward Cooks Commentary upon the 1. of E. 3.15. chap. but especially his Commentary upon these words, viz. Or Commandement of the King.

First, said he, "The King is a Body Politique, & cannot, command but by matter of Record: for the Kings Commands, & the Laws Commands are all one: for the King must com-

, mand by matter of Record according unto the Law.

"Secondly, When any ludiciall act is by Act of Parliament re-"ferred to the King, It is always understood to be executed in

" some Court of Justice, according to the Laws.

The Opinion of Gascoign Lord Chief Justice is notable in this point; Who saith, that "The King hath committed all his power judiciall to divers Courts: as, the Kings Bench is coram Rege; & "others coram Iusticiariis: therefore the Laws say, By the command, ment of the King or his Iustices.

Hussey Ld Chief Institute reports, That Sr. Iohn Markham pleaded to King E. 4. That the King could not arrest any man for suspicion of Treason or Felony, as any of his Subjects might: because, said He, , if the King did wrong, the Party could not have his

, Action at the Common-Law.

The same was pleaded to King Rich. 2. Whereupon all the Iudges were consulted with; & as one voice they gave their Answer, "That the King cannot do any thing by command, ment; but must do it by Writ, or by order, or rule of some, of his Courts of Justice: As you may find it recorded I. R. 2. chap. 12 & 14. 8. 4. chap. fol. 19. 24. H. 8. chap. 12. On which Statutes, said Bracton, "The King can do nothing but what He, can do by Law. And the La Chief Iustice Cook saith, "That by the "Command"

, Command of the King, is to be understood, as much as to fay,

, by the Kings Courts of Instice.

And we find upon Record, That the Parliaments of England have been very severe against all persons whatsoever that have subverted the Laws of the Land.

As for instance, The impeachment or charge in full Parliament

at the dethroning King Edward the Second

The many Articles of impeachment of the dethroned King Richard the Second in full Parliament: Both which Kings were charged in the Articles of impeachment for having perverted the due course of Law, Justice, & Right; & that they destroyed men by informations, & without Legall examination & tryalls; & that they had declared, that the Laws of the King dom were in their own breast.

And we likewife find, That the Parliaments of England have not spared their own Members, who were either Advisers, or Abettors in giving advice to any King to subvert the known Laws; but have executed the severest of judgements on them, as they

have been discovered. I will name 4, or 5 Examples : viz.

The impeachment against the Lord Chief Instice Tresillian, & his brother-Indges, Fulthorp, Belknape, Carey, Hott, Burge & Loston, & others of the then Privy-Council; & also the then Ld Major of London, who had set their hands to Indgements in subverting the Laws of the Land, & advanceing the Kings will & commands above the known Laws of the Kingdom.

The impeachment against Cardinael Woolsey, in H.8. Recorded in the 4. part of Cooks instit. fel. 89. 91. &c in which He is charged with

Arbitraryness, & adviseing the King to subvert the Laws. The impeachment against Iudge Thorp, in Ed. 3. time.

The many Indges executed in King Alfreds time, for adviseing, & giving illegall judgement contrary to the known Laws.

The

The inditement of the 2 grand & notorious Subverters of the Laws. & Liberties of England, Empson & Dudley Privy-Councellours to H. 7. recorded in Cooks 4 part Instit. fol. 198. 199. fol. 41. ibid. & 2. part instit.

Or the impeachment of the Ship-money-Judges, & Monopolies; who did as much as in them layd, to destroy all the properties of all

the men in England.

4.5 I fay, That if the King the creator of the Lords be thus restrained by Law, as He is, that He shall not do to the Freemen of England what he pleaseth, nor excercise an Arbitrary, Tyrannicall, illegall power over their Lives, Liberties, or estates; Then, I fay, 'tis impossible for the King to give unto the Lords the excercise of an Arbitrary, Tyrannicall, illegall power over: the Lives, Liberties or estates of the Commons of England: For it is a Maxime in Nature, There is no being beyond the power of being. And I am very confident that the Lords are not able to produce: any folid Testimony that ever the King gave them any such power. And though I grant, that the King to the Indges gives fuch power as He cannot, nor doth in his own Person execute, yet, I fay, that is always a power not flowing from his own will. but limited by Law, & by which He is Authorised so to do by Acts of Parliament. And Jam fure, by the words of the Writ by which He summons them to sit in Parliament, as it stands printed in a Book called, The manner, of holding Parliaments. page I. (which Writ is the foundation & root of their power) all the power that is given them by that Writ, is, to come to the Parliament to conferre & treat with the King, or affoard their councell of certain hard & urgent affairs concerning the King, the State, & the defence of the Kingdom of England, &c.

But my pretended offence was touching none of these things; but, at the most, was meerly an Action or offence tryable are

the Common Law, in case J could not have proved my Petition: as appears by Cooks 5. part Reports de libellis famosis: And besides this, The Lords about me had no conference, nortreaty with the King their Prerogative-sountain, as by their Writ of Summons, which is the soundation & ground of their power, they ought to have: And therefore the Lords, not onely by the Common Law, but by their own Law & principles, had not the least ground to pretend a power or jurisdiction in the least in

my cause.

5.b I fay, That the Lords could not by Law, fummon me by Warrant to come to their Barr, & to answer such things as were fer forth in a Petition presented by me to the House of Commons; which Petition their Lordy intituled a Pamphlet or Scandalous Libel: Now admit that Petition or Libel were mine, & full of fcandals in the highest nature, yet Libels & Scandala Magnatum are not to be tryed by the House of Lords; but are to be tryed, now the Starre-Chamber is down, onely by an Action at the Common Law: As appears by the 5. part of Cooks Reports, page 125. & the 13. H. 7. Kelay 11. Eliz. Dier. 285. & the 30 Assize-Plea 19. All which is fully confirmed in the 17. Car. 19. Therefore I do averre, That the Lords have their Remedie, in case of Libelling, onely at Common Law: where there is a Writ & an Action by the Law ordained de Scandalts Magnatum; As also for Libels tryable onely by a Jury upon an Inditement at Common Law, & not otherwise. And this was practifed by some of the discreetest Peers in our time; as, The Marquess of Dorchester, who brought his Action against a Commoner at the CommonLaw for a Libel, where his Lap recovered great damages. Likewise the La Viscount Hallifax & others took the same course at the Common Law, & not otherwise. And this way seems to be very clear & evident by the Statutes 3. E. 1, 3. 3. & 37. E. 3. 18. & 38. E. 3. 9. & 42. E. 3. 3.

E. 3. 3. & 2. R. 2 5. & 12. R. 2. 11 None of which, I am fure, give the Honse of Lords any cognizance of my pretended crime 2 and therefore for them to meddle with me, haveing no lurisdiction of my cause, it being neither about Errour, nor delay of Iustice in inferiour Courts, Their proceedings are thereupon all coram non Iudice, & so void & null in Law: It being a Maxime in Law, That that which from the beginning is not valid, can never be made good by tract of time: or, Those things that are begun from an evill Principle, can never attain to a Legall issue.

6.5 I fay, That no man, what ever he be, is to be imprisoned, but by the established Laws of the Land. They are the very words of r'e Petition of Right: but there is no established Law for the ludgement of the Lords in any thing, where the King their Creator is not concurrent: as appears by the 14. Edward. 3. 5. For the Lords, as I faid before, are onely there, not by any Election or power from the People; but as persons of Honour created & made by the will of the King, to affift him. For in the Writ of Errour, wherein lyes the main & principall power of the Lords, there must be a Petition to the King for the allowance thereof: & the King must give them a particular Commission & power to take cognizance of it before they can have Iurisdiction of it: As is clear & plain by the express words of the 14. Edward. 3.5. Which Statute is the principall strength & Basis of the Lords power. But my Case is neither of Iustice in another Court, nor corruption of Judgement in another Court, which are all the Causes the Lords have Iurisdiction of by Law, which is as binding to them, as to any other Court of Justice in England : as is clear by the 4. H. 4. 23. Which Statute positively declares, At is a subversion of the Law of the Land soz the Lozds oziginally to take cognizance of Causes, oz to over-rule the just & ordinary proceedings of the Law in other inferiour Courts.

And this was fully pleaded at the Lords Barr, in the remarkable case of Limbry against Alderman Langham: Vnto which Plea concurred the Opinion of all the Iudges in England; which they were commanded to give by the Lords special command. I might here cite the Duke of Espernoons case, & many other cases & Presidents, lately cited by Mr. Pemberton & Mr. Offly before this Honble Assembly, upon the examination of the Petitions of Mr. Fitton, & my own: But J need not give you that trouble; for I find by your honble Votes made in the business of the East-India Company, That it is your noble & just Resolution (as Men well known in the Laws of Land, & performing the Trust reposed in you,) to maintain the weal & safety of the people of England,

against all usurpers what soever.

7.5 I fay, That there was no Perition to the King, nor any Commission of His granted to the Lords to authorize them to meddle with me: & therefore all their proceedings against me are illegall from first to last in the highest nature. For it plainly appears by the Law of the Land, That ro man shall be put to answer without presentment before Justices, or matter of Record, or by due process & Writ Griginal, according to the Law of the Law. And this is clear by severall Statutes, viz. 5. E. 3. 9. & 25. E. 3. 4. & 28. E. 3. 3. & 37. E. 3. 18. 42. E. 3. 3. and the Petition of Right. & the 17. Car. 19. & by the exposition of Sr. Edward Cook upon Magna Charta: but not any of this was done in my case : for the Lords summoned me Ore tenus, before any charge or Writ was filed against me, & examined me vivà voce upon Interrogatories against myself without a Jury of my Peers; nor was Councel or Witnesses heard or examined on my behalf, which makes their proceedings with me totally illegall & most unjust.

(32)

8.5 I Object against the illegall proceedings of the House of Lords with me from the 29. chap. of Magna Charta, & the 3. E. 1.6. & the Petition of Right; which expressly declare, That no Man is to be judged but by his Peers, & by due process according to the Law of the Land. And this appears in Clarks Case, in the s. part of Cooks Reports: where in his Exposition of Magna Charta, he faith, That, By the Words, viz. By his Aqualls, is meant, Men of his own Condition, Commons onely being Peers to Commons, As Barons of Parliament are Peers to Barons of Parliament. 2. part. instit. fol. 28. 29. 46. 50. Where also Sr. Edward Cook declares, what Titles they bear that are comprehended within the Names of Peers of Parliament; & also what Titles they have that are comprehended within the Names of Commoners. There are many Cases to clear this: I will name onely One, & that is The Case of Sr. Simon de Berisford, in the 4. E. 3. Rot. 2. The substance of which Record, is, That Edward 3. in his own Person did charge the bouse of Lords to give right & lawfull Indgement against Sr. Simon de Berisford, for his Treason & murder, in murdering his Father King Edward the 24: But the Lords to the King in Parliament faid All with one voice, ,,That , the aforesaid Sr. Simon was not their Peer; wherefore they were not , bound by the Laws to give judgement against him. Yet nevertheless at the Kings importunitie they did: But at the fame time, it was affented, agreed, & enacted, (faith Sr. Edward Cook in 2. part Instit. fol. 50.) by the King & all the Grandees in full Parliament, That that Indgement should never be drawn into example or consequence for the time to come : and they gave their reasons of it , because it was against the Laws of the Land for them to judge those that were not their Peers & aguals. From whence I observe, That, if it be illegall for the House of Lords with the Kings presence, consent, & concurrence, as they here confess, to condem Sr. Simon de Berisford. for Treason & murdering the King (because He was none of their Peers) although vigorously put upon it by the King on the behalf of his Father, Which King in his own person sate, & concurred

red with them in it, much more is it unlawfull for the Lords to prefume to pass Iudgement upon Me a Commoner. for a triviall supposed case, without the Kings presence or concurrence with them, which by their own Principles, & by the Principles of Law, is yet in force, & gives life & power to all such their judiciall Actions: And therefore All their proceedings against me are illegall & void in Law.

9.19 Another Argument against the Lords proceedings with me, is. That by the Law of the Land, no Man is to be Judge in his own case: as appeareth by the 8. H. 6. fol. 21. El. Dier. 220. & Doctor Bonhams case, 8. part of Cooks Reports. Yea and An Act of Parliament in such a Case bath been judged void in Law. Therefore the Lords ought not to have judged mine; for that it concerned themselvs, or at least, the La Gerard, who, with other of his fellow-Lords, were not onely Partys, but Complainants, persecutors, witnesses, Jury & ludges: which practife is against all the Laws of England, & the forms thereof: & was a greater Act of injuffice rhan ever I heard was don either by the Starr-Chamber, Council-board, or High Commission Court, in the days of the greatest oppression & Tyranny. And J may justly conclude, That, if the Lords Judgement were binding in my case, then a few Lords would bind, not onely Me, but all the Commons of England, who all one after one may be so served by them as J am, & that without any hope of redress in the world (which both Law & Reason abhorr's) either by Writ of Errour or appeal, Attaint, or certificate of Affize to any Court whatfoever, no, not to the Parliament itself; for then it would come before themselvs again, who would never condemn themselvs or their own decrees.

And if yor Hours suffer the Lords to exercise such an Arbitrary, illegall Tyranny as they have don upon me; & without all grounds rules or forms of Laws, suffer them to fend for whom of the Commons of England they please, & at their will & pleasure andenn them in what & how they please, then the House of Com-

L

mons stand for meer Cijphers, the Indges in Westminster-hal for Cijphers, & all the Laws in England are Cijphers: And then We the Commons of England are become worfethan the French Slaves, or any other slaves on the whole earth where Christianity is profesfed: And by this practife the end of all Government is overthrown, viz the weal & safety of the people: Yea, & hereby the people of this Kingdom are left without all means to preferve chemselvs; if you suffer the House of Lords, without controll, to exercife at their pleasure such a power over the Lives, Liberties & estates of the Free-men of England, as (I confidently averr,) cannot be legally nor justly exercised by the King, Lords, & House of Commons joined & agreeing together, who are (when the most is said that can be faid of them) but Magistrates, as all other Magistrates are; appointed for the protection & preservation, but not the ruin or defiruction of the people. And therefore if the Lords were able to shew me as many Presidents as would fill Westminster-hall, that they have don to others as they have don to me, I value them no more, in comparison to the severall Acts of Parliament, & the Common Law of the Land which I have cited, (which are point blank against their Vsurpations) than I value the dirt under my feet. And Jiay, That if the Lords in their House can make Presidents to destroy Acts of Parliament, & pluck the fundamenrall Common Law of England up by the roots, yea, fuch Acts as have been confirmed by 30 Parliaments; Then may the Commons of England conclude, That yor Honrs fit in the house of Commons onely as Cijphers, not having power to preferve the weal & fafety of the people.

Jwill not, nor need not, I hope, give you the trouble of citeing many Prefidents, or the many Acts of Parliament, that do illustrate & declare your great jurisdictions, power, & trust imposed on you by the Commons of England, for I take it as granted, that they are printed in your hearts & resolutions, as well as in our Law-books.

There is a great Objection which the Lords pretend against me, & fay, was a ground of their proceedings, viz. That I contemned their Iurisdiction; & thereupon, say they, Contempt of a Court, by the Law.

Law, subjects a Man to fine & imprisonment.

To wich I Answer.

First, I do absolutely deny that I did either contem, or affron their Court or Jurisdictions: for I obeyed their Writ of Summons, & with all respect & complement came to their Barr; which was more than by Law I needed to have don; where being examined upon Interrogatories against myself. I pressed hard to be dealt legally with, & to see my Charge in writeing; which was denyed, contrary to all Law & Justice, against the liberty of the Subject, & unsit to continue upon Record.

2.5 Jfay, That the House of Lords was no Court to me in my Case, having no jurisdiction of the Cause: it being not any of the Causes, that they by the 14. Ed. 3. Chap. 5. have jurisdiction off: & if it had, yet by that statute they could not meddle with me with-

out the Kings concurrence & his speciall Commission.

3.5 I fay, That to affront, contemn, or abuse a Court that hath no jurisdiction of the Cause, for which the Party is convened before them, I say, by Law, is neither fineable, nor imprisonable. As for instance, if a Cour of Sessions quastion me for my Free-hold, & J refuse to answer them, & give them contemptuous words for meddling with that which by Law they have no jurisdiction of: They may by Law bind meto my good behaviour; but cannot fine or imprison me or disfranchise me of the priviledges of an Englishman. And this, you find, holds good in the Court of Common-Pleas; where, ifthey go about to hold Plea of murder before them, if the Party refuse, it is no contempt of the Court; because They have no jurisdiction over fuch Cases: And pertinent to this purpose, is Baggs Caseinthe II part of Cooks Reports. So that, I fay, That the Lords assuming over me a power of lurisdiction without Law, or the Kings Commission, according to the form of the Statute in that behalf, are no Court to me in my Case, nor capable of the Cause in controversie: And therefore I say again, in a double sence, they had not the least ground or colour in Law, either to punish, fine, or imprison me. But

But meethinks, I hear 2 wife & learned Peers argueing, as once they did with One of the House of Commons, citeing for Law, & as a Prefident, the case of Thomas Cromwel Earle of Effex in the 32 of H. 8. Who, their Lordins said, was condemned of high Treason, & yet was never brought to answer in any of the houses of Parliament; which is very true: But their Lorders had learned but a piece of the Story. For it feems they had not read Sr. Edward Cook in his 4. part inflit, chap. High Court of Parliament fol. 37. where, speaking of that Attainder, He faith, "Let Oblivion take it away, if it may be; if not, however let filence cover it : ,, for (faith he) the more high & absolute the jurisdiction "of the Court is, the more just & honourable it ought to be in their "proceedings; & to give examples of Iustice to inferiour Courts. Which kind of proceedings of the Parliament with the faid Earle. He condemns, as altogether illegall: and cites the 29. chap. of Magna Charta &c. to prove it to be against the Law of England: And to prove it to be against the Law of God, He quotes Gen. 3:9 18:21. & Deut. 17: 10. 6 19: 15, 17. 6 10sh. 7: 19, 20, 22, 23. Judg. 20: 3, 4. 10h: 7: 51. And that it is against the Law of Reason engraven in the hearts of Heathens, He cites Alts. 25. 16, 27. And therefore in page 14. ibid. declaring the danger that enfueth to the Kingdom, when any of the Maximes or fundamentall Laws of the Kingdom are altered, as we fee twas very great by Empfons & Dudleys rigid executing that unjust Act of Parliament of the II. of H. 8. He used these words, Agood Caveat to Parliaments, to leav all Causes to be meafured by the golden & straight Metwand of the Law, & not to the uncertain & crooked cord of Discretion or will of pleasure.

Laftly. I fay, 'Tisirrationall for the honfe of Lords to endeavour the trying of any Commoner at their Barr, who is none of their Peer or aquall: for that All men know, (that well know the Laws of the Land,) That if the house of Lords would try One of their own Members, being a Fellow-Peer, for any crime or misdemeanour, &

should

should form themselvs to the number of 16, or 12, (under which number they cannot be) † and then act in the nature of a Grand-Inry or Petty Iury, & so become Judges of matter of Fact yet they must have joined to them a Iudge of matter of Law; & that must be a Lord High Steward; (which they had not in my case;) And that Ld High Steward must be Authorized by the Kings Commission; as was lately seen in the Tryall of the Lord Morley, where the King authorized the then Lord Chancellour by his Commission to be Ld High Steward during the said Tryall, & no longer; Neither hath there been any Ld High Steward since or before in this Kings Raign.

But on the contrary, the Lords have no ground or Authoritie for the forming themselves into a Grand Iury or Petty Iury for the trying of any Commoner for any matter or thing whatsoever, though they had a La High Steward joined to them: but the Commons are to be tryed according to the severall Acts of Parliament, which not onely point out the way & method of the tryalls, but also declare the reason thereof; as you may read in severall Parliament-Rolls: I will cite onely One; And that is, where the Petition & Answer, which make the Act, are set down together;

viz. 42. E. 3. Rot. 12.

Item, Because that many of nour Commons are hurt /2 and destroyed by false Accusers, who make their accusations more for their revenue and particular gain, then for the profit of the king, or his people; and those that are accused by them, some have been taken, and others are made to come before the kings Council by Writ, or other Commandment of the king, upon grievous pains, contrary

† And this you may see in the ro. E. 173. H.B. Brooks tiel. Toyalls 142, where 'ris said, that if a Petr of the Redms be arraigned at the suit of the King, upon an inditement of murder, he shall be tryed by his Peers, that is Nobles; but if he beappealled of murder by a Subject, his tryall shall be by an ordinary say of Prochables.

trarp to the Law: That it would please our Loyd the King, and his good Council, so, the just government of his people to owall . That if hereafter any accuser purpose arp matter for the profit of the king, that same matter be fent to the Austices of the one Bench . or the other . or the Asses , to be enquired and determined according to the Law: if it concern the accuser of party, that he take his fuit at the Common Law; and that no man be put to auswer without presentment before Justices, or matter of Record , or by one Process, and original West, according to the ancient Law of the Land : And if any thing hence forward be done to the contrary, that it be void in Law, and held for Erroz.

Here, by due Process and Original Writ, according to the ancient Law of the Land, is meant the same thing, as, Per Legem Terræin Magna Charta; and the abuse was, That they were put to answer by

Commandment of the King.

The Kings Answer is thus:

Because that this Article is an Article of the Grand Charter . the king will that this be done as the Petition Doth demand.

By this appeareth, that Per Legem Terræ in Magna Charta, is

meant by due Process of the Law.

Therefore from all the Premises, & Authorities before mentioned laid together, I draw this Conclusion; viz. That I was illegally punished, fined & imprisoned by the house of Lords: And that their whole proceedings against me were a subverting of the known & declared Laws of the Land; And, I humbly conceive, a High breach of the Priviledges of the Commons of England, & may prove of dangerous Conlequence, it continued upon Record.

Lay

Lay to heart, I befeech you, O ye House of Commons, That Neither yourselvs, nor your Children can plead any immunitie or securitie from the Cruelty & bondage of the House of Lords : If you be flack or negligent in relieving the Oppressed out of the hands of those that are too strong for them, remember that which Moses charged the Indges & Rulers of the people of old; viz. To hear the cause & complaints of their Brethren, & to judge righteously between every Man & his brother: & that they should not respect persons in judgement; but that they should hear the small as wel as the great; & that they should not be afraid of the frown of any man: for, faith he, in Deut. 1:16, 17. the judgement is Gods. and when the Righteous are in Authority, the Feople rejoice; because the Righteous considereth the cause of the poor. And the Landis established by judgement. And we find that God ftyles himself a God of Judgement. Ifa. 30: 18. And Healfo declares himself to be a God that loves judgement. And as God is fo delighted in justice & judgement, fo on the contrary, God as much declares how exceedingly He abborr's those that turn judgement afide, & commit mighty fins in afflicting the just. Isa: 1:23,24. Ier. 5: 28, 29. Amos. 5: 12. Mic. 3: 9, 11. Zec. 8: 16,17. and in Prov. 29: 2. he faith, that when the wicked bear rule, the people mourn; because they regard not the Cryes of the oppressed, nor understand judgement. This is the impeachment of the everlasting God against all Princes, Parliaments, Magistrates & Indges, who refuse or neglect: their duty in relieving the poor & distressed.

And I humbly offer to yor Hones, that if you suffer my Case to stand upon Record, according too the Lords judgement, Whether then the Lords may not to often cite Carr's Case as a President

against the Priviledges of the Commons of England.

Now for as much as you see, That both the Laws of God & the Laws of this Kingdom do abhor, & have severely punished (above all Persons) Indges & Magistrates, who

who have violated their Trult; & fuch as endeavoured, as much as in them laid, to delivoy the Liberties & properties of the People, whom by Law they ought to have preferved. And for as much as My Sufferings are unparallell d, & my prejudice fustained thereby altogether unreparable, having lost not onely a considerable estate, but am become wholly deprived of means to support myself, my Wife, & small Children; as also having suffered, & still being likely so suffer a long imprisonment (or worse) being not limited, but less to the Kings pleasure; unless the judgement of the House of Lords be vacated, & such other Relief given as your Grave Wisdoms shall think meet, I bereupon humbly submit the whole case to Gods gracious guidance of your Councels. So subscribes

Your distressed Fellow-Commoner, and most humble & devoted Orator

WILLIAM CARRE.

And I humbly offer to be 1997, that it has hele on Cafe to fland upon the confine too the 2005 mily swith Wheelers then the Line with the Line is a Franciscopie of the Camers of The land the flands of the Commens of The lands of the Commens of The lands of the Commens of The Line Comme

Now for as much as you fee, The local the Laus of Gold & the Laws of this Kingdom do ablect & have feverely punished ('above all Perlons) Indges & Magificator, who